

# BIBLE 101 — Week 3

## How Context Changes Everything

*Scripture Focus: Nehemiah 8:8 · 2 Timothy 2:15*

### KEY VOCABULARY — scan this before you watch

Term	What It Means
<b>Grammatical-Historical Method</b>	A time-tested approach that focuses on _____ and _____ to find the author’s meaning
<b>Grammar (pillar)</b>	What the _____ on the page actually mean and how sentences are built
<b>Historical Context (pillar)</b>	What was going on in the _____ when the text was written
<b>Wooden Literalism</b>	Ignoring poetry, metaphor, and figures of speech — _____ the text
<b>True Literal Interpretation</b>	Reading the text the way the _____ intended it to be read

### I. THE PROBLEM

One person reads a verse as literal, another says it’s symbolic, and a third finds a meaning you never \_\_\_\_\_.

To find the true meaning, we have to get back to what the original \_\_\_\_\_ intended to say.

The challenge: these texts were written \_\_\_\_\_ of years ago in cultures worlds away from ours.

### II. THE SOLUTION: TWO QUESTIONS

The grammatical-historical method is built on just \_\_\_\_\_ core questions:

**Question 1:** What do the \_\_\_\_\_ say, and how does it all fit together?

**Question 2:** What was \_\_\_\_\_ when this was written?

*“They read from the Book of the Law of God, making it clear and giving the meaning so that the people could understand what was being read.”*

— Nehemiah 8:8 (NIV)

### III. THE TWO PILLARS

GRAMMAR PILLAR	HISTORY PILLAR
What did the words mean _____?	Who was the person _____ this?
How are the _____ built?	Who were they writing _____?

Look for connector words like “_____” or “but”	What were the _____ norms and customs?
------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------

Understanding their world stops us from pasting our own \_\_\_\_\_ world onto the text.

### IV. WHAT “LITERAL” REALLY MEANS

WOODEN LITERALISM	TRUE LITERAL INTERPRETATION
Ignores poetry, metaphors, and _____	Reads the text the way the author _____
_____ the text — makes it rigid	If it’s a song, read it like a _____
“Raining cats and dogs” = _____	“Raining cats and dogs” = it’s raining _____

#### II PAUSE & REFLECT

Think of a verse you’ve always read one way. Could the original author have meant something different than what you assumed? What would change if you asked the grammar and history questions?

\_\_\_\_\_

### V. WHY THIS METHOD IS THE ANCHOR

Other approaches and their dangers:

- **Allegorical method:** Searching for secret \_\_\_\_\_ meanings behind every word
- **Reader-response:** “What does this mean to \_\_\_\_\_?” — the Bible becomes a mirror for our own ideas

The grammatical-historical method keeps us \_\_\_\_\_ — tied to what the author wanted to say.

*“Do your best to present yourself to God as one approved, a worker who has no need to be ashamed, rightly handling the word of truth.”*

— 2 Timothy 2:15 (ESV)

The Greek behind “rightly handling” has the idea of cutting a \_\_\_\_\_ line — like a carpenter making a precise cut.

### VI. THE FOUR-STEP PLAYBOOK

**Step 1:** Pick a \_\_\_\_\_.

**Step 2:** Ask the grammar question — What do these words actually \_\_\_\_\_?

**Step 3:** Ask the history question — What was going on \_\_\_\_\_?

**Step 4:** Put it together — What did the author intend to \_\_\_\_\_?

## REFLECTION QUESTIONS

1. Have you ever been in a discussion where people disagreed about what a verse means? How would the two pillars (grammar and history) have helped settle it?

---

---

2. What's the difference between wooden literalism and true literal interpretation? Why does this distinction matter?

---

---

3. Pick a passage you know well. Try the four-step playbook on it. What's one thing you notice that you hadn't seen before?

---

---